Approved For Release 2003/04/18: CIA-RDP80R01731R001600030066-4

TOP SECRET SECRET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED (Sender will circle classification Top and Bottom)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP INTER-OFFICE ROUTING SLIP (Revised 10 Sept 1946)

	FROM		TO	INITIALS	DATE
		DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE	2		
		EXECUTIVE TO THE DIRECTOR			
		SECRETARY TO THE DIRECTOR			
		EXECUTIVE OFFICE: ASST. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	/	2 12	
		ADVISORY COUNCIL		1 50	
		EXECUTIVE FOR PERSONNEL & ADMINISTRATION			
		CENTRAL RECORDS			
		SECRETARY, NIA			
		CHIEF, INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF			
		ASST. DIRECTOR, SPECIAL OPERATIONS			
		ASST. DIRECTOR, RESEARCH & EVALUATION			
		ASST. DIRECTOR, COLLECTION & DISSEMINATION			
		CHIEF, SECURITY BRANCH			
	APPROVALINFORMATION		DIRECT REPLY		
	AC	COMMENDATION PREPARATION OF REPLY	COMMENT		
	RE	COMMENDATION PREPARATION OF REPLY		_ FILE	
	SI	GNATURECONCURRENCE		DISPATCH	1
	REMAR	KS:			

TOP SECRET

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

RESTRICTED

UNCLASSIFIED

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

3 June 1947. CCC TO Chairman Chan Gurney Senate Armed Services Committee Senate Office Building CLASS, COUNTRY FOR IS S C Washington, 25, D.C. MEMBER MAND AREA AUTR. HE TO DATE 25048/ HAVIDWA My dear Senator Gurney: Through your courtesy, there has been communicated to me for somment the contents of a letter, dated 2 June 1947, which was writ-I had a very pleasant meeting ten to you by on Memorial Day, and we reached substantial agreewith L ment on all matters connected with the Central Intelligence Group. As he noted in his letter to you, there were three points felt some concern. I would like to review about which briefly my position on them. had two arguments for his position that the Gentral Intelligence Agency should be headed by a civilian. The principal argument was concerned with a lack of permanency and continuity in the position of Director. The second was that the Director must be in such a position that he cannot be influenced by any one Department, through fear or favor. We both agreed that there would be no objection to the Director being an officer of the armed services, provided that he serves in a retired status and in a civilian espacity. However, the proposed legislation should specifically provide for this contingency, for the absence of a specified period of service as Director would work an undue hardship upon an officer required to forego his military career to accept this position for an uncertain period of time. This could be remedied by establishing a specific term for the Director. The question of tenure of office seems to have been quitted from the proposed legislation in order that the President might have a free hand in nominating a Director of his own choosing, as he does in the case of other executive positions in the Government. that, were the bill passed and were I appointed to the post of Director of the new Agency, I would be willing to retire from active service and consider the position of Director as a career job. I feel sure that understands that in such a case it must be a career job --- that is, for a specified period. It would be manifestly unfair for me to retire now, with the idea of making the position of Director my career, and then, perhaps, after the next election, be relieved of my post because of a desire to fill it with a political or personal appointee. If I were thus relieved, I would be without a career in the Central Intelligence

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/04/18: CIA-RDP80R01731R001600030066-4

Agency and foreclosed from returning to the Navy.

STAT

The second point raised in | s letter is "that the Agency should have its own independent budget." With that point I am in no disagreement. As I have explained to him, we do, in effect, have our own independent budget at the present time, and no other Department or Agency has control over the funds which the Congress allocates to us in that budget.

The third point is that the Director should report to an individual rather than to a Committee. As I previously stated before the Senate Appropriations Committee, I feel that this is a matter to be determined by the Congress rather than by me. On purely theoretical grounds, it would, of course, be best to report to one individual rather than to a group. However, I can work with a Council equally well, and see no great difference in either solution that Congress may determine. There may be some question as to the wisdom of having the Director of Central Intelligence report to the Secretary of National Defense. This, in effect, might be considered as placing the Agency within the military establishment, which would not, in all probability, be satisfactory to the State Department. They have a great interest in the operations of the Agency, and their contributions in the intelligence field are particularly important in time of peace, when the Foreign Service can operate throughout the world.

As General Donovan stated in his memorandum to you of 7 May 1947, intelligence "must serve the diplomatic as well as the military and naval arms." This can best be done outside the military establishment. As General Donovan stated further, on page ten of his memorandum, "Since the nature of its work requires it to have status, it should be independent of any Department of the Government, (since it is obliged to serve all and must be free of the natural bias of an operating Department,) "

is remarks and my views empressed In view of above, it is my opinion that there is no necessity to change the wording of Section 102 of 8. 758 to restrict the position of Director to a civilian only. It is my feeling that Section 102 should be left in its present form.

I very much appreciate your having given me the opportunity to express my views to you on this subject.

Sincerely yours.

STAT

-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1

WIPforzheimer:msc Executive Office Registry.

Signer's chrono Central Records - 2 Return to Pforzheimer Stayback

R. H. HILLENKOETTER
REAR ADMIRAL. USN
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Approved For Release 2003/04/18: CIA-RDP80R01731R001600030066-4